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#### Abstract

We study the uniformly bounded orthonormal system $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$ of functions $$
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)(\sin x)^{\lambda}, \quad x \in[0, \pi]
$$ where $\left\{\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}(\lambda>0)$ is the normalized system of ultraspherical polynomials. We investigate some approximation properties of the system $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$ and we show that these properties are similar to one's of the trigonometric system. First, we obtain estimates of $L^{p}$-norms of the kernels of the system $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$. These estimates enable us to prove Nikol'skiŭ-type inequalities for $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials. Next, we prove directly that $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$ is a basis in each $L_{w}^{p}, 1<p<\infty$, where $w$ is an arbitrary $A_{p}$-weight function. Finally, we apply these results to get sharp inequalities for the best $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$-approximations in $L^{q}$ in terms of the best $\mathscr{U}_{\lambda}$-approximations in $L^{p}(1 \leqslant p<q<\infty)$. For the trigonometric system such inequalities have been already known.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $0 \leqslant \lambda<\infty$ and $m_{\lambda}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2}, x \in(-1,1)$. Denote by $\left\{\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the orthonormal basis of $L^{2}\left([-1,1], m_{\lambda}\right)$ obtained from $\left\{x^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by the Gram-Schmidt process. Set

$$
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)(\sin x)^{\lambda}, \quad x \in[0, \pi] .
$$

Then the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \equiv\left\{u_{n}^{(\lambda)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^{2}[0, \pi]$. Moreover, this system is uniformly bounded in $[0, \pi]$ (see $[13,(7.33 .6)]$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right| \leqslant M_{\lambda}, \quad x \in[0, \pi], \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda=0$ this is the cosine system

$$
u_{0}^{(0)}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad u_{n}^{(0)}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos n x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and for $\lambda=1$ we get the sine system

$$
u_{n}^{(1)}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (n+1) x, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Askey and Wainger [2] proved the following transplantation theorem:
Theorem A. Let $0<\lambda<\infty, 1<p<\infty$, and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ is the Fourier series of some function $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$ if and only if the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n x$ is the Fourier series of some function $\varphi \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$. Moreover, $c_{p}^{\prime}\|\varphi\|_{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{p} \leqslant c_{p}\|\varphi\|_{p}, c_{p}^{\prime}>0$.

It follows immediately that the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ is a basis in each $L^{p}[0, \pi], 1<p<\infty$ (a direct proof of this result will be given below). Therefore the analysis of general approximation properties of this system is a natural and relevant problem. Of course, a lot of results in this direction can be derived by transplantation from the theory of trigonometric series. Nevertheless, a more extended study of the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ requires an independent development of basic tools of approximation theory for this special case.

Let $0 \leqslant \lambda<\infty$. For any integer $n \geqslant 0$ denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(n)}$ the linear span of $\left\{u_{k}^{(\lambda)}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$, i.e., the set of all functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad a_{k} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These functions are said to be $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials. For every $k$ we have

$$
\cos k x=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma_{j}^{(k)} \varphi_{j}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(n)}$ coincides with the set of all functions $U_{n}(x)=T_{n}(x)(\sin x)^{\lambda}$, where

$$
T_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} \cos k x \quad\left(\alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

are even trigonometric polynomials of a degree at most $n$.
Let $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi](1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty)$. Denote by $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}$ the best approximation of $f$ by polynomials $U_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(n)}$,

$$
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}=\inf _{U_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(n)}}\left\|f-U_{n}\right\|_{p}
$$

One of the important questions in the Embedding and Approximation theories is to determine how certain smoothness or constructive properties of a function $f \in L^{p}$ are reflected on its corresponding properties in a more strong $L^{q}$-norm $(q>p)$. Notice that the first results in this direction concerning the embedding of Lipschitz classes were obtained by Hardy and Littlewood [6]. Afterwards, sharp different norm inequalities for moduli of continuity were found by Ul'yanov [15]. In the case of constructive characteristics (best approximations) the question can be formulated as follows: given $1 \leqslant p<q \leqslant \infty$, find sharp relations between best approximations in $L^{p}$ and $L^{q}$.

For the trigonometric system this problem was posed by Ul'yanov [15] and Stechkin. Its complete solution for $1<p<q<\infty$ was obtained in [8]. Let $E_{n}(f)_{r}$ be a best trigonometric approximation of a function $f$ in $L^{r}$. It was proved in [8,9] that for $1<p<$ $q<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(f)_{q} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(k-n+1)^{q / p-2}\left(E_{k}(f)_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this inequality is sharp for any rate of decay of the best approximations $E_{n}(f)_{p}$. The same results are also true in the case $p=1$; in particular, inequality (1.3) for $p=1$ can be deduced from the case $p>1$.

Initially, this work started from the similar question for the best approximations by $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda^{-}}$ polynomials. Of course, it was clear in view of Theorem A that in the case $p>1$ the same results hold for all $\lambda>0$. Nevertheless, we were interested in the case $p=1$ as well as in the direct proof for $p>1$. This led us to the study of such problems as estimates of the kernels of the $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-system, relations between different norms of $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials (Nikol'skiĭ-type inequalities), special $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials with some extremal properties.

The main results of this paper are the following. In Section 2 we obtain estimates of $L^{p}$-norms of the kernels of the system $\mathcal{U}_{2}$. These estimates enable us to prove Nikol'skiütype inequalities for $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda^{-}}$-polynomials (Section 3). Next, in the Section 3 we construct $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda^{-}}$ polynomials of the form

$$
U_{v, \mu}(x)=\sum_{k=\mu}^{v} a_{k} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad 0 \leqslant \mu<v \text { are integers }
$$

which have optimal order of growth of the $L^{p}$-norm for all $p \geqslant p_{0}>0$. In Section 4 we give a direct proof of the basis property of the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ in $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi], 1<p<\infty$, where $w$ is an arbitrary $A_{p}$-weight function. In particular, this gives a short proof of the Pollard's mean convergence theorem for ultraspherical polynomials. Finally, in Section 5 we apply these results to get an analogue of inequality (1.3) for the best $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-approximations and to prove its sharpness. In this section we follow the scheme of the works [8,9].

## 2. Kernels of the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$

In this section we will prove estimates of the kernels of the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$. Assume that $\lambda>0$. Let $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}$ be the sequence of ultraspherical polynomials defined in [13, 4.7]. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=\alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)} P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)}=2^{\lambda-1 / 2} \pi^{-1 / 2} \Gamma(\lambda)\left(\frac{(n+\lambda) \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+2 \lambda)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In what follows we use $c_{\lambda}$ and $C_{\lambda}$ to denote constants (in every appearance, in principle different) depending only on the parameter $\lambda$.

Lemma 1. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$. Then for every $x \in[0, \pi]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)=b_{\lambda} u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(x) \sin x+(1-\cos x) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)+\frac{\beta_{n}(x)}{n}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{\lambda}$ is a positive constant and

$$
\left|\beta_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{\lambda}, \quad x \in[0, \pi], \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Proof. We shall use the following identity [13, (4.7.27)]:

$$
(n+2 \lambda) t P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-(n+1) P_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)=2 \lambda\left(1-t^{2}\right) P_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t) .
$$

Taking into account (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-\varphi_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)= & \left(\alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)} \frac{n+1}{n+2 \lambda}-\alpha_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}\right) P_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t) \\
& +(1-t) \varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)+\frac{2 \lambda}{n+2 \lambda} \alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(1-t^{2}\right) P_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)} \frac{n+1}{n+2 \lambda}=\alpha_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{2 \lambda}{n+2 \lambda} \alpha_{n}^{(\lambda)}=\alpha_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}\left(b_{\lambda}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right), \quad b_{\lambda}>0
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-\varphi_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)= & b_{\lambda}\left(1-t^{2}\right) \varphi_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)+(1-t) \varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t) \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[\left(1-t^{2}\right) \varphi_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)+\varphi_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and, as a consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)= & b_{\lambda} u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(x) \sin x+(1-\cos x) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)+u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(x) \sin x\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of (1.1), this yields (2.2). The lemma is proved.
Denote

$$
K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)
$$

From the Christoffel-Darboux formula [13, 3.2],

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)= & \frac{\gamma_{n}}{\cos x-\cos t}\left[u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right] \\
= & \frac{\gamma_{n}}{\cos x-\cos t}\left[u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)\left(u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)-u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\left(u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right)\right], \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
c_{\lambda}^{\prime} \leqslant \gamma_{n} \leqslant c_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime} \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N} ; c_{\lambda}^{\prime}, c_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}>0\right)
$$

Notice also that (see [13, (4.1.3)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\pi-x)=(-1)^{n} u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad x \in[0, \pi] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, t \in[0, \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant c_{\lambda} \min \left(n,|x-t|^{-1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant M_{\lambda}^{2}(n+1) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant c_{\lambda}|x-t|^{-1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First suppose that $x \in[0, \pi / 2]$. For any $t \in[0, \pi]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\cos x-\cos t|=2 \sin \frac{|x-t|}{2} \sin \frac{x+t}{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}|x-t|(x+t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}(x, t) \equiv\left|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1),

$$
\Delta_{n}(x, t) \leqslant M_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right|+\left|u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right|\right)
$$

It follows from (2.2) that for any $y \in[0, \pi]$

$$
\left|u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(y)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(y)\right| \leqslant c_{\lambda}(y+1 / n)
$$

If $\max (x, t) \geqslant 1 / n$, then $\Delta_{n}(x, t) \leqslant c_{\lambda}(x+t)$; applying (2.8), we get (2.7). If $x, t \in[0,1 / n]$, then (2.7) follows immediately from (2.6). Thus, we have proved inequality (2.7) for $x \in$ $[0, \pi / 2], t \in[0, \pi]$. If $x \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$ and $t \in[0, \pi]$, then by (2.4) we have

$$
K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)=K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\pi-x, \pi-t)
$$

and this case immediately reduces to the preceding one. The lemma is proved.
Let $0 \leqslant \mu<v$ be integer numbers. Denote

$$
K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)=\sum_{k=\mu}^{v} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)
$$

If $\mu \geqslant 1$, then

$$
K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)=K_{v}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)-K_{\mu-1}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) .
$$

As usual, we set $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.
Corollary 1. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$. Then for every $x \in[0, \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, \cdot)\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p} c_{\lambda}(v-\mu)^{1-1 / p} \quad(1<p<\infty) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, \cdot)\right\|_{1} \leqslant c_{\lambda} \log (v-\mu) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\lambda}$ is some positive constant.
Proof. It follows from (1.1) and (2.5) that for every $x, t \in[0, \pi]$

$$
\left|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant c_{\lambda} \min \left(v-\mu,|x-t|^{-1}\right) .
$$

For a fixed $x \in[0, \pi]$ denote

$$
E_{x}^{\prime}=\left\{t \in[0, \pi]:|x-t| \leqslant(v-\mu)^{-1}\right\}, \quad E_{x}^{\prime \prime}=[0, \pi] \backslash E_{x}^{\prime}
$$

Then for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right|^{p} d t & =\left(\int_{E_{x}^{\prime}}+\int_{E_{x}^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& \leqslant 2 c_{\lambda}^{p}\left[(v-\mu)^{p-1}+\int_{(v-\mu)^{-1}}^{\pi} z^{-p} d z\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies (2.10) and (2.11).
In what follows we will use the Mehler's formula [4, p. 177]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=t_{n}(\lambda)(\sin x)^{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\cos (n+\lambda) y}{(\cos y-\cos x)^{1-\lambda}} d y \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in[0, \pi]$ and $\lambda>0$, where

$$
t_{n}(\lambda)=\frac{2^{2 \lambda-1 / 2} \Gamma(\lambda+1 / 2)}{\pi \Gamma(2 \lambda)}\left(\frac{(n+\lambda) \Gamma(n+2 \lambda)}{\Gamma(n+1)}\right)^{1 / 2}=c_{\lambda} n^{\lambda}+O\left(n^{\lambda-1}\right)
$$

Denote

$$
L_{n}^{p, \lambda}=\sup _{x \in[0, \pi]}\left\|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, \cdot)\right\|_{p}(1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty) ; \quad L_{n}^{1, \lambda} \equiv L_{n}^{\lambda}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Then there exist positive constants $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ depending only on $p$ and $\lambda$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c^{\prime} n^{1-1 / p} \leqslant L_{n}^{p, \lambda} \leqslant c n^{1-1 / p}, \quad \text { when } 1<p \leqslant \infty  \tag{2.13}\\
& c^{\prime} \log (n+1) \leqslant L_{n}^{\lambda} \leqslant c \log (n+1), \quad \text { when } p=1 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The second inequalities in (2.13) and (2.14) follow by Corollary 1.
Let $\eta_{n}=\pi /(8(n+\lambda))$. From (2.12) it easily follows that for any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \eta_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) \geqslant c_{\lambda} k^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{x}(x-y)^{\lambda-1} d y=\frac{c_{\lambda}}{\lambda}(k x)^{\lambda} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\lambda}>0$. Thus, for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant \eta_{n}$ we have

$$
K_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right) \geqslant c_{\lambda} \sum_{k=[n / 2]}^{n} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) \geqslant c_{\lambda}^{\prime} n^{\lambda+1} t^{\lambda}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right)\right|^{p} d t & \geqslant \int_{0}^{\eta_{n}}\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right)\right|^{p} d t \\
& \geqslant c_{\lambda} n^{p(\lambda+1)} \int_{0}^{\eta_{n}} t^{\lambda p} d t \geqslant c_{\lambda}^{\prime} n^{p-1}, \quad c_{\lambda}^{\prime}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the left-hand side inequality in (2.13).

To prove the first inequality in (2.14) we will proceed from formula (2.3). Using notation (2.9) and applying (1.1), (2.2), and (2.15), we get for any $t \in[1 / n, \pi / 2]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right) \geqslant & \left|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(t)-u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t) \| u_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right| \\
& -M_{\lambda}\left|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}\right)-u_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{\lambda} t\left|u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)\right|-c_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t^{2}+1 / n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, for $t \in[1 / n, \pi / 2]$ we have

$$
0<\cos \eta_{n}-\cos t=2 \sin \frac{t-\eta_{n}}{2} \sin \frac{t+\eta_{n}}{2} \leqslant t^{2}
$$

Using these estimates and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right)\right| d t & \geqslant \int_{1 / n}^{\pi / 2}\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}, t\right)\right| d t \\
& \geqslant c_{\lambda} \int_{1 / n}^{\pi / 2}\left|u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)\right| \frac{d t}{t}-c_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, in the last integral we will use the asymptotic formula (see [13, (8.21.18)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)=(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} \cos ((n+\lambda) x-\lambda \pi / 2)+\tau_{n}(x) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tau_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{\lambda}}{n x}, \quad x \in(0, \pi / 2] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1 / n}^{\pi / 2}\left|u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)\right| \frac{d t}{t} & \geqslant(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} \int_{1 / n}^{\pi / 2}|\cos ((n+\lambda) t-(\lambda+1) \pi / 2)| \frac{d t}{t}-c_{\lambda} \\
& \geqslant c_{\lambda}^{\prime} \log n
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{\lambda}^{\prime}>0$. This implies the first inequality in (2.14). The proof is completed.

## 3. $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-Polynomials

Using estimate (2.10), we get the following Nikol'skiĭ-type inequality (see [11], [3, p. 102]).

Theorem 2. Let $0 \leqslant \mu<v$ be integer numbers, $0<\lambda<\infty$, and

$$
U_{v, \mu}(x) \equiv U(x)=\sum_{k=\mu}^{v} a_{k} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad a_{k} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Then for any $0<p<q \leqslant \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{v, \mu}\right\|_{q} \leqslant c_{p, \lambda}(v-\mu)^{1 / p-1 / q}\left\|U_{v, \mu}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First suppose that $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. We have

$$
U(x)=\int_{0}^{\pi} U(t) K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) d t
$$

From here,

$$
|U(x)| \leqslant\|U\|_{p}\left\|K_{v, \mu}^{(\lambda)}(x, \cdot)\right\|_{p^{\prime}}
$$

and by (2.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U\|_{\infty} \leqslant c_{\lambda} p^{1 / p^{\prime}}(v-\mu)^{1 / p}\|U\|_{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $0<r<1$. Using (3.2) with $p=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|U\|_{\infty} & \leqslant c_{\lambda}(v-\mu) \int_{0}^{\pi}|U(x)| d x \\
& \leqslant c_{\lambda}(v-\mu)\|U\|_{\infty}^{1-r} \int_{0}^{\pi}|U(x)|^{r} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left[c_{\lambda}(v-\mu)\right]^{1 / r}\|U\|_{r} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have (3.1) for $0<p<\infty, q=\infty$. Let now $0<p<q<\infty$. Then by inequalities (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|U(x)|^{q} d x & \leqslant\|U\|_{\infty}^{q-p} \int_{0}^{\pi}|U(x)|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \bar{c}_{p, \lambda}^{q-p}\|U\|_{p}^{q}(v-\mu)^{(q-p) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{c}_{p, \lambda}=p^{1 / p^{\prime}} c_{\lambda}$, if $p \geqslant 1$, and $\bar{c}_{p, \lambda}=c_{\lambda}^{1 / p}$, if $0<p<1$. This implies (3.1). The theorem is proved.

The following lemma presents a construction of $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials with optimal order of growth of the $L^{p}$-norm for all $p \geqslant p_{0}>0$.

Lemma 3. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$ and $p_{0}>0$. Then for every integer numbers $0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant v$ there exists a polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{v, \mu}(x)=\sum_{k=\mu}^{v} a_{k} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad a_{k} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for any $p_{0} \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}(v-\mu+1)^{1-1 / p} \leqslant\left\|U_{v, \mu}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c^{\prime \prime}(v-\mu+1)^{1-1 / p}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$ are positive constants depending only on $\lambda$ and $p_{0}$.

Proof. First notice that for any $p>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \geqslant c_{p, \lambda}>0 \quad(k=0,1, \ldots) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $\left\|u_{k}^{(\lambda)}\right\|_{2}=1$, for $p \geqslant 2$ (3.6) follows by Hölder's inequality. If $0<p<2$, then by (1.1)

$$
1=\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leqslant M_{\lambda}^{2-p} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right|^{p} d x
$$

which implies (3.6).
Denote $m=\left[\left(\lambda p_{0}\right)^{-1}\right]+1$. If $v-\mu<2 m$, then we set $U_{v, \mu}(x)=u_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}(x)$. In this case inequalities (3.5) follow from (3.6) and (1.1). Suppose that $v-\mu \geqslant 2 m$. Clearly, we can assume that the number $s=(v-\mu) /(2 m)$ is a positive integer. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{v+\mu}{2}=\mu+m s=v-m s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, denote

$$
U(x) \equiv U_{v, \mu}(x)=s^{1-m \lambda} u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\left(\varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)\right)^{m}
$$

By the Dougall's formula (see [1, p. 319]),

$$
\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t) \varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(t)=\sum_{k=n-s}^{n+s} c_{k} \varphi_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)
$$

Applying this equality $m$ times, we get that

$$
\varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)\left(\varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right)^{m}=\sum_{k=n-m s}^{n+m s} a_{k} \varphi_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)
$$

By (3.7), it follows that $U$ is a polynomial of form (3.4).
Further, we have for $x \in(0, \pi / 2]$ (see [13, (7.33.6)])

$$
\left|\varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)\right| \leqslant c \min \left(s^{\lambda}, x^{-\lambda}\right)
$$

Using this inequality, we obtain for any $p \geqslant p_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|U(x)|^{p} d x & =2 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}|U(x)|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant c s^{(1-m \lambda) p}\left(\int_{0}^{1 / s}+\int_{1 / s}^{\pi / 2}\right)\left|\varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)\right|^{m p} d x \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime} s^{(1-m \lambda) p}\left[s^{m p \lambda-1}+\int_{1 / s}^{\infty} x^{-\lambda m p} d x\right] \leqslant c^{\prime \prime} s^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(note that $\lambda m p>1$ ). This implies the second inequality in (3.5).

Next, we will prove the first of inequalities (3.5). Let $\eta_{k}=\pi /(8(k+\lambda))$. By (2.15) we have

$$
\left|\varphi_{s}^{(\lambda)}(\cos x)\right| \geqslant c s^{\lambda} \quad\left(0 \leqslant x \leqslant \eta_{s}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \geqslant c \quad(c>0)
$$

Thus, $\|U\|_{\infty} \geqslant c(v-\mu)$. By Theorem 2, it follows that for any $p>0$

$$
\|U\|_{p} \geqslant c(v-\mu)^{-1 / p}\|U\|_{\infty} \geqslant c^{\prime}(v-\mu)^{1-1 / p}, \quad c^{\prime}>0 .
$$

The proof is completed.
Remark 1. In the trigonometric case the Jackson's kernels can be used to prove Lemma 3 (see [8]). Namely, in this case the function

$$
U_{v, \mu}(x)=\sum_{k=\mu}^{v} a_{k} \cos k x
$$

satisfying condition (3.5) can be given by

$$
U_{v, \mu}(x)=s^{1-2 r}\left(\frac{\sin ((s+1) x / 2)}{\sin (x / 2)}\right)^{2 r} \cos n x
$$

where $r=\left[\left(2 p_{0}\right)^{-1}\right]+1, s=(v-\mu) /(2 r)$, and $n=(v+\mu) / 2$ (we assume that $s$ is an integer).

Remark 2. In the case $\mu=0$ we have a more simple proof of Lemma 3. Moreover, in this case non-negative polynomials can be constructed. Let $\lambda>0$ and $p_{0}>0$. Set $r=\left[\left(\lambda+1 / p_{0}\right) / 2\right]+1, m=[v / r]$. Then

$$
T_{v}(x)=\left(\frac{\sin ((m+1) x / 2)}{\sin (x / 2)}\right)^{2 r}
$$

is an even trigonometric polynomial of degree $m r \leqslant v$. Thus, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{v}(x)=v^{\lambda+1-2 r} T_{v}(x)(\sin x)^{\lambda} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(v)}$. Furthermore, for some constant $c>0$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{c} v^{\lambda+1} x^{\lambda} \leqslant U_{v}(x) \leqslant c v^{\lambda+1} x^{\lambda}, \quad x \in[0,1 / v]
$$

and

$$
U_{v}(x) \leqslant c v^{\lambda+1-2 r} x^{\lambda-2 r}, \quad x \in[1 / v, \pi] .
$$

Using these inequalities, we easily get that

$$
c^{\prime} v^{1-1 / p} \leqslant\left\|U_{v}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c^{\prime \prime} v^{1-1 / p} \quad\left(c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}>0\right)
$$

for any $p \geqslant p_{0}$.
Remark 3. It follows from Lemma 3 that inequality (3.1) is sharp for any $\lambda \geqslant 0$.

## 4. Basis property

For every polynomial (1.2) we have $U_{n}(0)=U_{n}(\pi)=0$. Therefore, if a function $f \in C[0, \pi]$ does not vanish at the endpoints of the interval $[0, \pi]$, then the sequence of the best approximations $\left\{E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{C}\right\}$ does not tend to 0 (we set $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{C} \equiv E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{\infty}$ for $f \in C[0, \pi]$ ). Denote by $C_{0}[0, \pi]$ the closed subspace of $C[0, \pi]$ which consists of all functions $f \in C[0, \pi]$ such that $f(0)=f(\pi)=0$.

Proposition 1. If $f \in C_{0}[0, \pi]$, then for every $0<\lambda<\infty$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{C}=0
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $f \in C_{0}[0, \pi]$, then there exist a closed interval $I \subset(0, \pi)$ and a function $g \in C[0, \pi]$ such that $g(x)=0$ for all $x \in[0, \pi] \backslash I$ and

$$
\|f-g\|_{C}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

The function $\varphi(x)=g(x)(\sin x)^{-\lambda}$ is uniformly continuous in $(0, \pi)$. Thus, there exists a trigonometric polynomial

$$
T_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{k} \cos k x
$$

such that

$$
\left|\varphi(x)-T_{n}(x)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { for every } x \in(0, \pi)
$$

Set $U_{n}(x)=T_{n}(x)(\sin x)^{\lambda}$. Then $U_{n} \in \mathcal{U}_{\lambda}^{(n)}$. Furthermore, for every $x \in(0, \pi)$ we get

$$
\left|g(x)-U_{n}(x)\right|=\left|\varphi(x)-T_{n}(x)\right|(\sin x)^{\lambda}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

It follows that $\left\|f-U_{n}\right\|_{C}<\varepsilon$. This completes the proof.
Let $w$ be a non-negative measurable function in $[0, \pi]$. Denote by $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi](1 \leqslant p<\infty)$ the space of all measurable functions $f$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{p, w} \equiv\left(\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

Corollary 2. Let $w \in L^{1}[0, \pi]$ be a non-negative weight function and $0<\lambda<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials form a dense subset in every $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi], 1 \leqslant p<\infty$.

Recall that a non-negative locally integrable function $w$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy $A_{p^{-}}$ condition $(1 \leqslant p<\infty)$ if

$$
\sup _{I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w(x) d x\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w(x)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{p-1}<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $I$.

We have a similar definition for functions $w$ in $[0, \pi]$ (in this case we take only intervals $I \subset[0, \pi])$. It is easy to see that if a function $w$ satisfies $A_{p}$-condition in $[0, \pi]$ and we extend $w$ to the whole line as an even $2 \pi$-periodic function, then the extended function also satisfies $A_{p}$-condition on $\mathbb{R}$.

Next, for any function $\varphi \in L^{1}[-\pi, \pi]$ denote by $\mathcal{C}^{*} \varphi$ the maximal conjugate function operator,

$$
\left(\mathcal{C}^{*} \varphi\right)(x)=\sup _{0<\varepsilon \leqslant \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\varepsilon \leqslant|x-t| \leqslant \pi} \varphi(t) \cot \frac{t-x}{2} d t\right|
$$

If $1<p<\infty$ and a $2 \pi$-periodic weight function $w$ satisfies $A_{p}$-condition, then (see [14, p. 120, Theorem 2.12]; [5, p. 255])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\left(\mathcal{C}^{*} \varphi\right)(x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\varphi(x)|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in L^{1}[0, \pi]$ and $0<\lambda<\infty$ denote by $S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)$ the partial sum of the Fourier series of $f$,

$$
S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}(f) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad a_{k}(f)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) d x
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) d t \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $0<\lambda<\infty, 1<p<\infty$, and let $w$ be a weight function satisfying the $A_{p}$-condition in $[0, \pi]$. Then for any function $f \in L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant c\|f\|_{p, w} \quad(n=0,1, \ldots) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we suppose that $f(x)=0$ for $x \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$ and $f$ is extended to the whole line as $2 \pi$-periodic function such that $f(x)=0, x \in[-\pi, 0)$. Furthermore, as it has been noted above, we may assume that $w$ is extended to $\mathbb{R}$ as even $2 \pi$-periodic function. For $x \in[0, \pi]$ we denote

$$
A_{n}(x)=\{t \in[0, \pi / 2]:|x-t| \geqslant 1 / n\}, \quad B_{n}(x)=[0, \pi / 2] \backslash A_{n}(x) .
$$

By (4.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)= & \int_{A_{n}(x)} f(t) K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) d t \\
& +\int_{B_{n}(x)} f(t) K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) d t \equiv \sigma_{n}(x)+\tau_{n}(x) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

First, we have

$$
\left|\tau_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c n \int_{B_{n}(x)}|f(t)| d t \leqslant c M f(x)
$$

where $M f$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Then (see [5, p. 255])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant c\|M f\|_{p, w} \leqslant c^{\prime}\|f\|_{p, w} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, in order to estimate $\left\|\sigma_{n}\right\|_{p}$ we will apply formula (2.3). First, (2.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| \leqslant C \quad \text { for } x \in[2 \pi / 3, \pi], t \in[0, \pi / 2] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)-u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}(x)=\sin x\left[b_{\lambda} u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(x)+u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) \tan \frac{x}{2}\right]+\frac{\beta_{n}(x)}{n} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left|\beta_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{\lambda} \quad(x \in[0, \pi], n=0,1, \ldots)
$$

We have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sin t}{\cos x-\cos t}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\cot \frac{t-x}{2}+\cot \frac{t+x}{2}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $t \in[0, \pi]$ set

$$
f_{n}(t)=f(t) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t), \quad g_{n}(t)=f(t)\left[b_{\lambda} u_{n-1}^{(\lambda+1)}(t)+u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t) \tan \frac{t}{2}\right] .
$$

Since $f(t)=0$ for $t \in[\pi / 2, \pi]$, we have

$$
\left|f_{n}(t)\right|+\left|g_{n}(t)\right| \leqslant c|f(t)|, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \pi .
$$

Extend the functions $f_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ to be 0 in $(-\pi, 0)$ and then periodically with the period $2 \pi$ to the whole real line. Using (2.3), (4.7), and (4.8), we easily get for $x \in[0,2 \pi / 3]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant & c\left(\left|\int_{A_{n}(x)} f_{n}(t)\left(\cot \frac{t-x}{2}-\cot \frac{t+x}{2}\right) d t\right|\right. \\
& +\left|\int_{A_{n}(x)} g_{n}(t)\left(\cot \frac{t-x}{2}+\cot \frac{t+x}{2}\right) d t\right| \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n} \int_{A_{n}(x)} \frac{|f(t)|}{|\cos x-\cos t|} d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t \in A_{n}(x)$, then $|\cos x-\cos t| \geqslant(x+t) /\left(\pi^{2} n\right)$. Thus, for $x \in[0,2 \pi / 3]$ we have

$$
\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{*} f_{n}\right)(x)+\left(\mathcal{C}^{*} g_{n}\right)(x)+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{|f(t)|}{x+t} d t\right]
$$

If $x \in[0, \pi]$, then

$$
\psi(x) \equiv \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{|f(t)|}{x+t} d t \leqslant c(H \varphi)(x)
$$

where $\varphi(x)=|f(-x)| \chi_{[-\pi / 2,0]}(x)$ and $H \varphi$ is the Hilbert transform of $\varphi$. Hence (see [5, p. 255]), $\|\psi\|_{p, w} \leqslant c\|f\|_{p, w}$. Next, by (4.1)

$$
\left\|\mathcal{C}^{*} f_{n}\right\|_{p, w}+\left\|\mathcal{C}^{*} g_{n}\right\|_{p, w} \leqslant c\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\left|f_{n}(x)\right|+\left|g_{n}(x)\right|\right)^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c^{\prime}\|f\|_{p, w}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi / 3}\left|\sigma_{n}(x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c\|f\|_{p, w} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, applying (4.2), (4.6), Hölder inequality, and $A_{p}$-condition, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{2 \pi / 3}^{\pi}\left|S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant c \int_{0}^{\pi}|f(t)| d t\left(\int_{0}^{\pi} w(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c^{\prime}\|f\|_{p, w}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this inequality, (4.4), (4.5), and (4.9), it follows (4.3).
If supp $f \subset[\pi / 2, \pi]$, then we consider the function $f_{1}(x)=f(\pi-x)$. We have (see (2.4))

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n}^{(\lambda)}\left(f_{1} ; \pi-x\right) & =\int_{0}^{\pi} f(\pi-t) K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\pi-x, t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t) d t=S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (4.3) follows from the preceding case. This completes the proof.
Corollary 3. Suppose that $0<\lambda<\infty, 1<p<\infty$, and a weight function $w$ satisfies the $A_{p}$-condition in $[0, \pi]$. Then the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ is a basis in $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi]$.

To prove this, observe that the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ is minimal in $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi]$, that is, no $u_{k}^{(\lambda)}$ belongs to the closure of the linear span of $\left\{u_{n}^{(\lambda)}\right\}_{n \neq k}$ in $L_{w}^{p}[0, \pi]$ (see [7, p. 6]). Indeed, if $Q$ is an element in this linear span, then by orthogonality, (1.1), and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right)^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x)-Q(x)\right] u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) d x \\
& \leqslant M_{\lambda} c_{w}\left\|u_{k}^{(\lambda)}-Q\right\|_{p, w}, \quad \text { where } c_{w}=\left(\int_{0}^{\pi} w(x)^{-1 /(p-1)} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Now Corollary 3 follows immediately from the criterion of a basis property (see [7, p. 10]).

Remark 4. The system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ is not a basis neither in $C_{0}[0, \pi]$ nor in $L^{1}[0, \pi]$. Indeed, it is easy to see that for any $0<\lambda<\infty, n \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon>0$, and $x \in[0, \pi]$ there exists a function $f \in C_{0}[0, \pi]$ with $\|f\|_{C}=1$ such that

$$
S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)>\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|K_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x, t)\right| d t-\varepsilon .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)\right\|_{C}: f \in C_{0}[0, \pi],\|f\|=1\right\}=L_{n}^{(\lambda)}
$$

Applying (2.14) and the uniform boundedness principle, we immediately get the following statement:

Proposition 2. For any $0<\lambda<\infty$ there exists a function $f \in C_{0}[0, \pi]$ such that the sequence $\left\{\left\|S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)\right\|_{C}\right\}$ is unbounded.

The similar proposition is true in the case of $L^{1}$-norm.
Remark 5. Let $0<\lambda<\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{p} \equiv L^{p}\left([-1,1],\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2}\right)$. Suppose that $(2 \lambda+$ 1) $/(\lambda+1)<p<(2 \lambda+1) / \lambda$. The Pollard's mean convergence theorem [12, Theorem 8.1] asserts that for any function $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{p}$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n}(g) \varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t), \quad c_{n}(g) \equiv \int_{-1}^{1} g(t) \varphi_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2} d t
$$

converges to $g$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{p}$. Observe that this theorem can be derived from Theorem 3. Indeed, it is easy to see that the function $w(x)=(\sin x)^{(2-p) \lambda}$ satisfies $A_{p}$-condition in $[0, \pi]$. Set $f(x)=g(\cos x)(\sin x)^{\lambda}$. Then

$$
c_{n}(g)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) u_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) d x
$$

and

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}|g(t)|^{p}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2} d t=\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) d x
$$

Applying Theorem 3, we easily get Pollard's theorem.

## 5. Different norm inequalities for best approximations

In this section we will study the following problems. First, given $1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$, find sharp conditions on the best approximations $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}$ of a function $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$ which guarantee that $f$ belongs to $L^{q}[0, \pi]$. Furthermore, if these conditions hold, then find a sharp estimate of $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q}$ in terms of $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}$.

As it was mentioned in the Introduction, for the trigonometric system these problems have been already solved. In our case we can apply the same scheme with the corresponding modifications.

The crucial role is played by the following lemma [8,10]:
Lemma 4. Let $0<p<\infty$ and let $\left\{h_{k}(x)\right\}$ be a sequence of non-negative functions $h_{k} \in L^{\infty}[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{p} \leqslant d_{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

where the sequence $\left\{d_{k}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
d_{k+1} \leqslant \beta d_{k} \quad(0<\beta<1 ; k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Then for any $q \in(p, \infty)$

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{k}\right\|_{q} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{q-p} d_{k}^{p}\right)^{1 / q} .
$$

We will use also the following Hardy-type inequalities.
Lemma 5. Let $\alpha_{n} \geqslant 0, \varepsilon_{n}>0$, and for some $\beta \in(0,1)$

$$
\varepsilon_{n+1} \leqslant \beta \varepsilon_{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

Then for any $r>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\right)^{r} \leqslant c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n} \alpha_{n}^{r}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\right)^{r} \leqslant c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}^{-1} \alpha_{n}^{r} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (5.1) was proven in [9]; the proof of (5.2) is similar.
Theorem 4. Let $1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$ and $0<\lambda<\infty$. Then for any function $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{q} \leqslant c\left[\|f\|_{p}+\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-2}\left(E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant which only depends on $p, q$, and $\lambda$.
Inequality (5.3) is a direct analogue of the Ul'yanov's inequality [15] for the best approximations by trigonometric polynomials. A generalization as well as an alternative proof of Ul'yanov's inequality was given in [10]. The proof in our case can be provided exactly as in [10, Theorem 4] and we omit it.

Next, it was proven in [8] for $\lambda=0$ that inequality (5.3) is sharp for any rate of decay of the best trigonometric approximations $E_{n}(f)_{p}$. Following the scheme given in [8], we immediately get a similar result for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$. The only change we need is to use polynomials (3.8) instead of Fejér's kernels (see [8, Theorem 3]).

Now we will consider the main problem in this section, the relations between best approximations in different norms. First, it follows immediately from (5.3) that for $1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \leqslant c\left(n^{1 / p-1 / q} E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}+\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{q / p-2}\left(E_{k}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, it is easy to see that this inequality is not sharp if the sequence $\left\{E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}\right\}$ tends to 0 sufficiently rapidly (for example, with a geometric rate). In the case of the trigonometric system the sharp estimate was found in [8] (see also [9]). We will obtain similar results for all $\lambda>0$.

Since the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$ is a basis in $L^{p}[0, \pi](1<p<\infty)$, then for every $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p} \leqslant\left\|f-S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{p} E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$ and $0 \leqslant \lambda<\infty$. Then for any function $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(k-n+1)^{q / p-2}\left(E_{k}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n=0,1, \ldots$, where $c$ is a constant which only depends on $p, q$, and $\lambda$.
Proof. Set $S_{n}(x)=S_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f ; x)$. First we suppose that $p>1$. Denote $\varepsilon_{n}=E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=n, \quad v_{k+1}=\min \left\{v \geqslant v_{k}: \varepsilon_{v} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{v_{k+1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{v_{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{v_{k}}<2 \varepsilon_{v}, \quad v_{k} \leqslant v<v_{k+1} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.5), we have (convergence in $L^{p}$ )

$$
f(x)=S_{n}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[S_{v_{k+1}}(x)-S_{v_{k}}(x)\right] .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \leqslant\left\|f-S_{n}\right\|_{q} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{k}\right\|_{q}, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}(x)=\left|S_{v_{k+1}}(x)-S_{v_{k}}(x)\right|$. Once again applying (5.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|f-S_{v_{k+1}}\right\|_{p}+\left\|f-S_{v_{k}}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \varepsilon_{v_{k}} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant c\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{1 / p}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c^{\prime}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{1 / p} \varepsilon_{v_{k}} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Lemma 4 and inequalities (5.9)-(5.11) yield

$$
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Changing the order of summation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} & \leqslant c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} \sum_{m=1}^{v_{k+1}-n} m^{q / p-2} \\
& \leqslant c \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{q / p-2} \sum_{k=k_{m}}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{m}=\min \left\{k: v_{k+1} \geqslant m+n\right\}$. By virtue of (5.8),

$$
\sum_{k=k_{m}}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} \leqslant 2^{1+q} \varepsilon_{m+n-1}^{q}
$$

and we get (5.6).
Now assume that $p=1$. Choose some $1<r<q$. By (5.6) and (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} & \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{q / r-2}\left(E_{n+k-1}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{r}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(q / r-1)}\left(E_{n+2^{m}-1}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{r}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(q / r-1)}\left\|f-S_{n+2^{m}-1}\right\|_{r}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(q / r-1)}\left(\sum_{v=m}^{\infty}\left\|S_{n+2^{v+1}-1}-S_{n+2^{v}-1}\right\|_{r}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(q / r-1)}\left\|S_{n+2^{m+1}-1}-S_{n+2^{m}-1}\right\|_{r}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, let $U_{n}(x)$ be the $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomial of best approximation of degree $n$ to $f$ in $L^{1}[0, \pi]$. Using orthogonality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n+2^{m+1}-1}(x)-S_{n+2^{m}-1}(x) & =\int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) \sum_{k=n+2^{m}}^{n+2^{m+1}-1} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(f(t)-U_{n+2^{m}-1}(t)\right) \sum_{k=n+2^{m}}^{n+2^{m+1}-1} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By Minkowski inequality and (2.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|S_{n+2^{m+1}-1}-S_{n+2^{m}-1}\right\|_{r} \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|f(t)-U_{n+2^{m}-1}(t)\right|\left(\int_{0}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k=n+2^{m}}^{n+2^{m+1}-1} u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(x) u_{k}^{(\lambda)}(t)\right|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r} d t \\
& \\
& \leqslant c 2^{m(1-1 / r)}\left\|f-U_{n+2^{m}-1}\right\|_{1}=c 2^{m(1-1 / r)} E_{n+2^{m}-1}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} & \leqslant c\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{m(q-1)}\left(E_{n+2^{m}-1}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{1}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant c^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{q-2}\left(E_{n+k-1}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{r}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is inequality (5.6) for $p=1$. The proof is now complete.
It was proven in [8,9] for $\lambda=0$ that inequality (5.6) is sharp for any rate of the decay of the best approximations $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p}$. Following the same scheme we obtain a similar result for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$. The main tools are Lemmas 3 and 4 .

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all positive sequences $\varepsilon \equiv\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ such that $\varepsilon_{n} \downarrow 0$. Suppose that $1 \leqslant p<\infty, 0 \leqslant \lambda<\infty$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $L_{p}^{(\lambda)}(\varepsilon)$ will denote the class of all functions $f \in L^{p}[0, \pi]$ such that $E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p} \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$.

Next, for $0<\lambda<\infty, 1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$ denote

$$
\mathcal{E}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q)=\sup _{f \in L_{p}^{(\lambda)}(\varepsilon)} E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \quad(n=0,1, \ldots)
$$

Theorem 6. Let $0 \leqslant \lambda<\infty$ and $1 \leqslant p<q<\infty$. Then there exist positive constants $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ (depending only on $p, q$, and $\lambda$ ) such that for every sequence $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$ and every $n=0,1, \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime} \mathcal{R}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q) \leqslant \mathcal{E}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q) \leqslant c \mathcal{R}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q)=\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(k-n+1)^{q / p-2} \varepsilon_{k}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The second inequality in (5.12) follows immediately from Theorem 5. We will prove the first inequality. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=n, \quad v_{k+1}=\min \left\{v \geqslant v_{k}: \varepsilon_{v} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{v_{k+1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{v_{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{v_{k}}<2 \varepsilon_{v}, \quad v_{k} \leqslant v<v_{k+1} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $p_{0}=\min (1, p /(q-1)) / 2$ and apply Lemma 3 with $v=v_{k+1}$ and $\mu=v_{k}+1$. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$-polynomials

$$
\tau_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=v_{k}+1}^{v_{k+1}} a_{j}^{(k)} u_{j}^{(\lambda)}(x)
$$

which satisfy the inequalities $\left(c^{\prime}>0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{1-1 / r} \leqslant\left\|\tau_{k}\right\|_{r} \leqslant c^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{1-1 / r} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $r \in\left[p_{0}, \infty\right]$. Next, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \equiv f_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{k}} \tau_{k}(x) /\left\|\tau_{k}\right\|_{p} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(it follows from (5.15) that the last series converges in $L^{p}$ ). Let $S_{m}(x)$ be the partial sums of the Fourier series of the function $f$ with respect to the system $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$. Note that $S_{m}(x)=0$ for $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. By (5.15), we get for any $v_{k} \leqslant m<v_{k+1}$

$$
E_{m}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{p} \leqslant\left\|f-S_{v_{k}}\right\|_{p} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{j}} \leqslant \varepsilon_{m} .
$$

Hence, $f \in L_{p}^{(\lambda)}(\varepsilon)$. If $f \notin L^{q}[0, \pi]$, then by Theorem 4 series (5.13) diverges and (5.12) trivially holds. Suppose that $f \in L^{q}[0, \pi]$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} h_{k}(x), \quad \text { where } h_{k}(x)=\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{(q-1) / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q-1} \tau_{k}(x) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the orthogonality of the system $\left\{\tau_{k}(x)\right\}$ as well as (5.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) g_{N}(x) d x \\
& \quad \geqslant c \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-2} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q}\left\|\tau_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geqslant c^{\prime} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(c^{\prime}>0\right)$. On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality and (5.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) g_{N}(x) d x & \leqslant\|f\|_{q}\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \\
& =\left\|f-S_{n}\right\|_{q}\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \leqslant c E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q}\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

(we have used also that $S_{n}=0$ ). Next, by (5.16) we have (see (5.18))

$$
\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{p /(q-1)} \leqslant c \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q-1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant c\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{(q-1) / p} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q-1}
$$

Applying Lemma 4, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.19)-(5.21) that

$$
E_{n}^{(\lambda)}(f)_{q} \geqslant c\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(v_{k+1}-v_{k}\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \equiv c A_{n}
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant that does not depend on $n$ and $\varepsilon$.

The last step is similar to one carried out in the proof of Theorem 5. Namely, applying Lemma 5, changing the order of summation, and using (5.15), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}^{q} & \geqslant c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(v_{k+1}-n\right)^{q / p-1} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} \geqslant c^{\prime} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{v_{k}}^{q} \sum_{m=1}^{v_{k+1}-n} m^{q / p-2} \\
& \geqslant 2^{q} c^{\prime} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{q / p-2} \varepsilon_{m+n-1}^{q}=2^{q} c^{\prime} \mathcal{R}_{n}(\varepsilon ; p, q)^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the first inequality in (5.12). The proof is now complete.

## Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by Dirección General de Investigación (Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología) of Spain under grants BFM 2000-0206-C04-01 and C04-03. The work was carried out while the first named author stayed at the Carlos III University of Madrid as a visiting professor.

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her useful remarks.

## References

[1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[2] R. Askey, S. Wainger, A transplantation theorem between ultraspherical series, Illinois J. Math. 10 (1966) 322-344.
[3] R.A. DeVore, G.G. Lorentz, Constructive Approximation, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1993.
[4] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F.G. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. 2, McGrawHill, New York, 1953, .
[5] J.B. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1981.
[6] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, A convergence criterion for Fourier series, Math. Z. 28 (1928) 612-634.
[7] B.S. Kashin, A.A. Saakyan, Orthogonal Series, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1989.
[8] V.I. Kolyada, Imbedding theorems and inequalities in various metrics for best approximations, Mat. Sbornik 102 (144) (2) (1977) 195-215 (Russian) (English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. 31 (1977) 171-189).
[9] V.I. Kolyada, On relations between best approximations in different metrics, Mat. Zametki 39 (3) (1986) 383-387 (Russian) (English transl. in Math. Notes 39 (1986)).
[10] V.I. Kolyada, On some generalizations of Hardy-Littlewood-Paley theorem, Mat. Zametki 51 (3) (1992) 24-34 (Russian) (English transl. in Math. Notes 51 (1992) 235-244).
[11] S.M. Nikol'skiǐ, Inequalities for entire functions of finite degree and their application to the theory of differentiable functions of several variables, Trudy Steklov Institute of the Akad. Nauk SSSR 38 (1951) 244-278 (Russian).
[12] H. Pollard, The mean convergence of orthogonal series. II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948) 355-367.
[13] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, 4th ed., American Mathematical Society Colloqium Publications, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.
[14] A. Torchinsky, Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1986.
[15] P.L. Ul'yanov, Imbedding theorems and relations between best approximations (moduli of continuity) in different metrics, Mat. Sb. 81 (123) (1970) 104-131 (Russian) (English transl. in Math. USSR Sb. 10 (1970)).


[^0]:    * Corresponding author. Fax: +4654700 1851.

    E-mail addresses: viktor.kolyada@kau.se (V.I. Kolyada), pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es (F. Marcellán).

